



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 AJEA 4380
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SOIL AMENDMENTS ON PHOSPHORUS USE AND AGRONOMIC EFFICIENCIES OF TWO MAIZE HYBRIDS IN ACIDIC SOILS OF MOLO COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The English should be improved. In Abstract, the description of results is too simply. Please state the aim of the study in Introduction. In Tables, why list the data of average? In tables and Figures, the legend is too simply, the abbreviation, the repeat of statistics, etc. Fig 1 and Fig 2 can draw in a figure. The conclusion should be developed. The form is not unifying in References, such as the name of the journals (full name, abbreviate, italic).	These comments have adequately been addressed in the revised manuscript These has now been looked into in the revised manuscript This is implied in the last paragraph of the introduction This a generic statistical procedure for presenting ANOVA results For purposes of clarity. Full words would not fit on the table or would have made the table look ugly As observed This is in section 4.0 We have now used the journal referencing format
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 17, add "." after "county".	Added



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 18, delete “,” after “(FYM)” Line 117, delete “P” after “60 kg”, etc. Line 117, the full name of “TSP”. Line 158, the company name of AAS. Line 192, significant “P” should italic. Line 508, “Glycine max” should be italic, also in the MS.	done Retained for purposes of making the reader know and appreciate the P rates used. The P rate used has to be shown. Triple super phosphate (done) Analytic jena OK (done) Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Minor Revision	